

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,313	07/07/2003	Mathieu Lion	15675P467	9364	
8791	7590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			BUGG, GEORGE A		
SEVENTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90025-1030		2636		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/200	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	_	10/615,313	LION ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		George A Bugg	2636				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	}s			
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute ture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be reply received by the Office later than three months after ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ınication.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>28 December 2004</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are solution is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10,13-15,20-23,25 and 26</u> is Claim(s) <u>11,12,16-19 and 24</u> is/are object to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. s/are rejected. ected to.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje in to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stag	je			
Attachmen	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4) Interview (Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))			

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The Specification is not properly arranged according to 37 CFR 1.77(b). Appropriate correction is required.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Art Unit: 2636

(k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 8 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 8 contains a temperature in degrees Celsius with its equivalent temperature, in degrees Fahrenheit, next to it. However, minus forty degrees Celsius is not equal to 40 degrees Fahrenheit. The Examiner contends that Applicant inadvertently omitted the minus sign for the equivalent Fahrenheit temperature. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. As for claim 14, the word "that" needs to be removed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Regarding claims 1, 2, and 25, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Art Unit: 2636

6. The term "highly thermal" in claim 1 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "highly thermal" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term used to describe the thermal conductivity of the case is ambiguous. Correction is needed. The term "approximately" in claims 7, 8, and 25 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "approximately" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term used to describe a temperature limit is ambiguous. Correction is needed. Claim 26 is

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

rejected as being dependent upon an indefinite claim.

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-3, 13-15, and 20-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,798,694 to Reber et al.
- 9. With regard to claim 1, Reber discloses a food storage apparatus (Figure 1, Element 22) including a container and a cover or lid, shown as Elements 26 and 28,

Application/Control Number: 10/615,313

Art Unit: 2636

respectively. Column 2, lines 19-35, disclose that the cover or lid can snap on, screw on, or simply cover the opening of the container, for the purpose of retarding spoilage of food, as well as maintaining freshness. The Examiner contends that the seal formed by the lid and container is air-tight, or hermetic, and is equivalent. Reber also discloses that the container and the lid may be formed of metal, which is thermally conductive.

Lines 44-49 teach that sensor 34, of Figure 1, can be a temperature sensor. With reference to Figures 1 and 5, and column 6, lines 1-5, the temperature sensor sends signals to the processor of the electronic tag 30, which are transmitted by transmitter 66, via radio frequency signals. In other words, the electrical signals from the temperature sensor are converted into electromagnetic signals and transmitted. In an alternate embodiment, shown in Figure 9, and disclosed in column 9, lines 17-64, the sensor and tag, which contains a transmitter, are integral to the container and lid, which constitute a case, which may be made out of metal. Furthermore, the sensor and transmitter are in close proximity, and therefore form a compact unit.

Page 5

- 10. As for claims 2 and 3, column 10, lines 1-11 disclose a battery, near the sensor and transmitter thereby forming a compact unit.
- 11. As for claim 13-15, as has been previously stated, Column 2, lines 19-35, disclose that the cover or lid can snap on, screw on, or simply cover the opening of the container, for the purpose of retarding spoilage of food, as well as maintaining freshness. The Examiner contends that the seal formed by the lid and container is airtight, or hermetic, and is equivalent. Reber also discloses that the container and the lid may be formed of metal, which is both thermally and electrically conductive, as shown in

Art Unit: 2636

Figure 9. Furthermore, the two pieces may be joined together to form a case, which functions as if it were a single piece. The can be removed, i.e. unsnapped or unscrewed, and therefore can be disassembled.

- 12. With regard to claim 20, and an output device such as an audible or visual indicator, which Reber previously discloses in column 3, lines 56-63 as a speaker, buzzer, or LCD display, thereby yielding understandable thermal data.
- 13. As for claim 21, Figure 5 shows a memory as element 60.
- 14. As for claim 22, column 4, lines 42-64, discloses an alert indication being generated when a temperature threshold, which is established by the user, is exceeded.
- 15. Regarding claim 23, column 10 lines 1-11 disclose the use of a touch screen or keypad (user interfaces).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,798,694 to Reber et al.
- 18. As for claim 4, while Reber does teach a battery, the reference does not specifically teach the claimed location of the power supply. It is the contention of the

Art Unit: 2636

Examiner that its placement relative to the sensor and the transmitter is a matter of design choice and not patentably distinct, and therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place the battery at various location with respect to the sensor and the transmitter, for the purpose of ensuring circuit viability, as well as preventing damage to the cell itself.

- 19. With regard to claim 5, column 3, lines 30-34, disclose the tag 30, which can contain a sensor and power supply, as disclosed with respect to column 9, lines 41-64, be hermitically sealed, to protect from water, and high and low temperatures.
- 20. As for claim 6, using silicone as a heat shield, due to its heat transfer properties, is well known in the art.
- 21. With regard to claims 7-10, column 4, lines 42-64, disclose an embodiment wherein an alert indication is generated when the temperature of a food product exceeds a predetermined threshold temperature. In addition, Reber states that this threshold may be varied. It is the contention of the Examiner that while Reber does not specifically disclose a low-end or high-end power supply operation temperature, thionyl lithium batteries, which are non-saline and non-alkaline cells, are readily available in the market place, as well as well-known, and have a wide temperature operation range, making it a highly desirable power supply for a temperature sensor, and therefore an obvious embodiment of the disclosed invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

22. Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 16-19, and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George A Bugg whose telephone number is (571) 272-2998. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George A Bugg Examiner Art Unit 2636

April 1, 2005

BRENT A. SWARTHOUT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Breit Swartord